Morphpublishing
J. Comput. Intell. Mach. Reason. Decis.-Mak.
open access academic journal
Peer reviewed

The Impact of AI-Augmented Image and Video Editing on Social Media Engagement, Perception, and Digital Culture

Ahmad Alnaser[a]

Abstract: In recent years, artificial intelligence (AI) has dramatically reshaped the landscape of digital media creation, with particularly profound effects on image and video editing for social media. AI-driven tools enable individuals and organizations to generate or manipulate visual content at unprecedented scale, sophistication, and speed. These innovations facilitate both benign and malicious activities, from the enhancement of everyday photographs and promotional videos to the fabrication of highly realistic but deceptive deepfakes. As social media platforms continue to expand their global user bases and increase their functionalities, the capacity to produce compelling AI-augmented imagery has become vital for influencers, brands, political campaigns, and private users alike. The resulting content can directly influence viewer perceptions, engagement metrics, and trends within digital culture. Moreover, rapidly evolving models—such as generative adversarial networks, diffusion-based systems, and large-scale transformer architectures—amplify the creative potential of everyday users, while also exacerbating ethical concerns surrounding authenticity and privacy. In this paper, we examine the technical foundations of AI-augmented image and video editing, explore the implications of these methods for social media engagement and perception, and analyze their broader cultural significance in contemporary society. By surveying both the state of the art and emerging concerns, we illuminate the critical need for responsible development and governance of AI-driven visual editing tools.

1. Introduction

The integration of artificial intelligence into image and video editing processes has accelerated in tandem with the global proliferation of social media [1]. Platforms like Instagram, TikTok, YouTube, and Snapchat thrive on visual content, prioritizing engagement metrics such as likes, comments, shares, and watch times. AI-augmented editing tools have emerged as a driving force behind viral trends and influencer campaigns, enabling the seamless manipulation of digital images and videos in ways that were once the exclusive domain of professional artists and visual effects specialists. Over the past decade, a convergence of computer vision breakthroughs, big data

[a]**Mutah University, Faculty of Information Technology, Queen Rania Street, Al-Karak, 61710, Jordan.**

repositories, and advanced machine learning architectures has democratized high-fidelity editing, granting amateurs the capability to produce remarkable transformations at low cost and minimal effort [2].

Historically, digital photo editing software required meticulous manual work. Retouching and compositing demanded expertise in tools like Adobe Photoshop and years of training. With recent developments in deep learning, much of this labor-intensive process has been replaced by automated pipelines capable of rapidly adjusting lighting, recoloring scenes, removing unwanted objects, and even generating new objects entirely [3]. In parallel, the realm of video editing has advanced from simple scene splicing and color correction toward real-time augmented reality filters and sophisticated face-swapping technologies enabled by generative adversarial networks (GANs). Users now routinely employ AI-powered apps and filters that can realistically superimpose their faces onto popular memes, generate hyper-realistic facial expressions, or even synthesize entire videos that never occurred in reality.

One of the primary drivers behind these developments is the maturation of deep neural networks, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and transformer-based architectures. CNNs have demonstrated remarkable proficiency in tasks such as image segmentation, feature extraction, and style transfer, enabling applications like automatic background removal and high-resolution image upscaling [4]. Meanwhile, transformer models, which have gained prominence in natural language processing, have also been adapted for vision tasks, leading to advances in generative AI frameworks such as DALL-E and Stable Diffusion. These models enable users to create entirely new visual compositions from textual prompts, revolutionizing content creation by minimizing the need for direct user intervention.

The automation of video editing has followed a similar trajectory [5]. Traditional video editing required frame-by-frame adjustments and complex keyframe animations. AI-driven solutions now allow for automatic scene detection, real-time object tracking, and even deepfake technology that seamlessly blends human faces into different contexts. GANs, variational autoencoders (VAEs), and diffusion models have emerged as crucial enablers of high-fidelity synthetic media, pushing the boundaries of realism in digitally altered videos. The ethical implications of these advancements are significant, as AI-generated videos can be used for both entertainment and malicious misinformation [6]. Researchers are actively working on forensic detection techniques to identify deepfakes and mitigate their potential misuse.

The computational efficiency of AI-based editing tools has also improved significantly due to hardware advancements. Dedicated AI accelerators, such as NVIDIA's Tensor Cores and Apple's Neural Engine, have optimized the execution of deep learning models, enabling real-time processing of complex editing tasks [7]. Cloud-based AI services provided by companies like Adobe, Google, and OpenAI further reduce computational bottlenecks by offloading resource-intensive operations to powerful data centers. This shift has made professional-grade editing capabilities accessible to casual users through mobile applications and web-based platforms.

A noteworthy application of AI in image and video editing is style transfer, where an image's aesthetic is altered to mimic the artistic characteristics of a reference painting or photograph. Neural style transfer techniques leverage CNNs to extract and recombine stylistic elements from different sources, producing visually compelling results that were once achievable only through extensive manual manipulation [8]. Another popular AI-driven approach is semantic image synthesis, in which users can describe desired modifications in natural language, and an underlying model translates these textual inputs into coherent visual adjustments.

The following table presents a comparative analysis of various AI-driven editing techniques, highlighting their capabilities and computational requirements:

Another transformative application of AI in digital editing is real-time augmentation, wherein users can apply virtual effects to live video streams [9, 10]. Augmented reality (AR) filters, commonly seen on platforms like

Editing Technique	AI Model Used	Primary Applications
Neural Style Transfer	Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)	Artistic transformation of images to mimic famous painting styles
Object Removal	Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), Inpainting Models	Seamless deletion of unwanted elements from photographs
Face Swapping	Deepfake Models, Autoencoders	Swapping faces in videos with high realism for entertainment or synthetic media
Video Upscaling	Super-Resolution GANs (SRGANs), Transformers	Increasing video resolution while maintaining sharpness and detail
Background Replacement	Semantic Segmentation Networks, DeepLabV3+	Automatic extraction and replacement of backgrounds in images and videos

Table 1. Comparison of AI-Driven Image and Video Editing Techniques

Snapchat and Instagram, leverage facial recognition algorithms to track facial landmarks and overlay digital artifacts onto users' faces. This functionality is underpinned by deep learning models trained on vast datasets of facial images to ensure robustness across diverse lighting conditions and facial expressions.

Despite the impressive capabilities of AI-driven editing tools, challenges remain in terms of authenticity, ethical considerations, and unintended biases. For example, automated beautification filters, while popular among social media users, have been criticized for reinforcing unrealistic beauty standards and exacerbating self-esteem issues, particularly among younger audiences [11]. Moreover, deepfake technology poses a significant risk in misinformation campaigns, as AI-generated videos can be weaponized to create fraudulent content that is indistinguishable from real footage. Researchers have responded by developing AI-powered forensic detection algorithms designed to identify manipulated content through artifacts such as unnatural eye movements, inconsistencies in lighting, and distortions in facial expressions.

AI's role in digital media creation is also influencing the traditional workflow of professionals in the entertainment and advertising industries [12]. Film studios now rely on AI-enhanced post-production pipelines for tasks such as de-aging actors, generating synthetic voices, and enhancing special effects. Similarly, advertisers use AI-driven analytics to tailor video content dynamically, ensuring maximum engagement by personalizing advertisements based on user preferences.

As AI continues to evolve, future developments in image and video editing are likely to be driven by even more sophisticated generative models capable of understanding complex scene semantics [13]. One emerging direction is the integration of multimodal AI models that combine textual, visual, and auditory data to produce more coherent and contextually aware outputs. Another promising avenue involves the incorporation of AI ethics into editing tools, ensuring that automated alterations adhere to principles of fairness, transparency, and accountability.

The computational requirements for AI-driven image and video editing can vary significantly depending on the complexity of the model. The following table summarizes the computational demands of different AI editing tasks: [14]

One key impetus behind AI-augmented image and video editing is the massive archive of user-generated data.

Editing Task	Computational Demand	Hardware Requirements
Real-time AR Filters	Low to Moderate	Mobile GPUs, Neural Processing Units (NPUs)
Image Inpainting	Moderate	Dedicated AI Accelerators, High-end GPUs
Deepfake Video Generation	High	Tensor Processing Units (TPUs), Multi-GPU Setups
Video Super-Resolution	High	Cloud-based AI Processing, FPGA Acceleration
AI-Assisted Animation	Very High	Large-Scale Data Centers, Distributed AI Training

Table 2. Computational Demands of AI-Based Image and Video Editing

Publicly available images, videos, and annotated datasets serve as training material for supervised and unsupervised deep learning methods, allowing networks to learn nuanced patterns of facial geometry, lighting, and texture. Transfer learning techniques enable these models to be adapted to new tasks with minimal data, broadening the scope of feasible manipulations [15]. For instance, style transfer algorithms can re-render an image in the style of a famous painting with a few lines of code, while neural inpainting techniques seamlessly repair damaged or missing regions in photographs. The consumer-friendly manifestations of these technologies, seen in social media filters and mobile editing apps, have had a measurable impact on user engagement and the curation of personal identity online.

Simultaneously, the increased accessibility and sophistication of AI-driven editing tools contribute to significant ethical, legal, and societal concerns. At the forefront is the issue of authenticity: digitally manipulated content can be nearly indistinguishable from unaltered original media [16]. This blurring of truth and fabrication has substantial implications for political discourse, brand reputation, and personal privacy. Furthermore, the emphasis on aesthetically optimized or hyper-stylized content may encourage unrealistic beauty standards and perpetuate harmful stereotypes. Equally pressing is the question of how such technologies reshape the very notion of creativity and ownership—when an algorithm handles the lion's share of editing, who is the true author of the content? [17]

In examining these issues, the paper proceeds by first contextualizing the rise of AI in image and video editing with a comprehensive look at the theoretical underpinnings of machine learning systems that enable seamless content manipulation. We then discuss current technical approaches and innovations, highlighting the role of generative adversarial networks, autoencoders, diffusion models, and transformer architectures in driving state-of-the-art editing capabilities. From there, we delve into how these innovations shift patterns of social media engagement, alter perception, and redefine cultural trends in digital communities. We also probe deeper into the evolving ethical landscape—disentangling responsible AI usage, content verification approaches, and regulatory strategies meant to mitigate harm. By assessing existing frameworks and proposing future directions, we hope to clarify the opportunities and risks that emerge as AI-based editing becomes deeply woven into modern online life.

2. Theoretical Foundations of AI-Augmented Media Editing

The technical core of AI-augmented image and video editing hinges on key advances in machine learning, particularly in deep learning architectures. These theoretical foundations provide the backbone for modern editing pipelines and guide how systems learn to represent, generate, and modify visual data [18]. A thorough comprehension of these concepts is essential to appreciate the potency and implications of AI-driven manipulation on social media platforms.

One of the earliest breakthroughs relevant to image editing was the introduction of convolutional neural networks (CNNs). CNNs apply a series of learnable filters to image data, capturing local and global features across multiple layers. Originally popularized by successes in image classification tasks, CNNs also proved invaluable for segmentation, object detection, and image-to-image translation [19]. The importance of CNNs in AI-based editing cannot be overstated: tasks such as style transfer, super-resolution, and inpainting draw heavily upon the hierarchical feature representations learned by CNNs. When combined with skip connections and multi-scale architectures, these networks can generate outputs that demonstrate impressive detail and realism.

Autoencoders, another key concept, facilitate dimensionality reduction and feature extraction in an unsupervised setting [20]. In a traditional autoencoder, an encoder network compresses input data into a latent space, while a decoder reconstructs the original input from this compressed representation. Variational autoencoders (VAEs) extend this framework by imposing a probabilistic structure on the latent space, thereby enabling random sampling of latent vectors that can be decoded into new, albeit related, images or video frames. VAEs thus support various editing applications, such as morphing a face from one identity to another or interpolating between different visual styles.

Generative adversarial networks represent another quantum leap in the realism of AI-generated content [21, 22]. Introduced by Goodfellow and colleagues, GANs involve two networks competing in a minimax game: a generator aims to synthesize believable outputs, while a discriminator attempts to distinguish generated samples from real samples. Over multiple training iterations, the generator refines its synthesis techniques to fool the discriminator. This adversarial process frequently yields photorealistic images and videos, enabling tasks ranging from face swapping (e.g., deepfakes) to generating entirely synthetic scenes or objects [23]. Crucially, extensions like conditional GANs and cycle-consistent GANs (CycleGAN) allow content transformation between two domains, further amplifying the editing possibilities.

Though GANs initially faced challenges such as training instability and mode collapse, subsequent research introduced measures like Wasserstein distance, gradient penalty, and improved architectures (e.g., StyleGAN) that have substantially improved output quality. Beyond standard image manipulation, GAN-based frameworks have been applied to video editing with temporal coherence, bridging frames to maintain consistency in motion and appearance across sequences [24]. These developments fuel social media trends where users post immersive re-enactments or comedic face-swapped montages.

Another vital theoretical component is the transformer architecture, which originally rose to prominence in natural language processing. Despite its textual origins, the attention mechanism of transformers has proven powerful in visual tasks as well, leading to the development of Vision Transformers (ViT) and related models. Transformers excel at learning long-range dependencies within data, which is invaluable for sophisticated editing tasks that require context awareness and global coherence [25]. For instance, semantic-level editing of complex scenes, or even full-blown text-to-image generation, can leverage attention-based models to ensure that each component of an image aligns with the intended global narrative.

Diffusion models mark an additional frontier in generative modeling. These models iteratively refine random

noise into coherent images or videos, guided by learned distributions of real visual data [26]. While computationally intensive, diffusion-based methods can match or exceed GAN-based approaches in producing high-fidelity images that capture intricate details. Recent developments merge diffusion techniques with latent representations, enabling more fine-grained and context-aware editing.

These theoretical pillars converge in advanced editing systems that often blend architectures for maximum flexibility. For example, a pipeline might use CNN-based semantic segmentation to identify objects within a frame, a GAN to retexture or re-illuminate those objects, and a transformer module to maintain contextual consistency [27]. From the user perspective, these under-the-hood complexities are often hidden behind simple interfaces, such as a mobile app slider that transforms a daytime photo into a nighttime scene or a text prompt that instructs an AI to insert a new object into a video.

In sum, the theoretical foundations of AI-augmented media editing revolve around building robust representations of visual data, synthesizing new samples that adhere to learned distributions, and ensuring that manipulations remain both local in detail and global in coherence. Mastery of CNNs, autoencoders, GANs, transformers, and diffusion models empowers modern editing systems to produce results that challenge the boundaries between real and synthetic [28]. This set of techniques underpins the extraordinary efficiency, realism, and creative range visible across social media. For practitioners and researchers, understanding these frameworks is also vital in developing countermeasures that detect manipulated content, preserve authenticity, and regulate misuse.

3. Technical Approaches and Innovations in Image and Video Editing

Content Verification and Reverse Editing The proliferation of AI-driven manipulation has also given rise to countermeasures aimed at detecting or reversing edits. These technologies are essential in maintaining platform integrity and user trust [29]. Some approaches rely on metadata analysis or watermarking systems that embed unique signatures in edited content. Others leverage deep learning to detect inconsistencies or artifacts that are characteristic of generative models. Recently, research on adversarial training for deepfake detection or image forgery detection has intensified [30]. For instance, neural networks can be trained to spot spatial distortions in face-swapped videos or subtle misalignments in shadows and reflections. The arms race between editing tools and detection algorithms remains a critical area of inquiry, as it dictates the feasibility of content authentication in a world where manipulation tools continually evolve.

Efficiency and Deployment Optimizations Scaling AI editing solutions for millions (or billions) of users on social media platforms necessitates specialized optimizations. Real-time inference constraints impose a need for model compression, quantization, or hardware accelerations such as field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs) or graphics processing units (GPUs) [31]. Application developers often rely on techniques like knowledge distillation—training smaller student networks to mimic larger teacher networks—or exploiting specialized libraries for on-device inference. The reliance on cloud-based services versus on-device computation presents another design trade-off, influencing factors such as latency, user privacy, and cost.

Integration with Augmented and Virtual Reality The convergence of AR and VR technologies with AI-augmented editing heralds new paradigms in immersive storytelling [32]. Users can apply advanced filters and scene transformations not just to 2D images, but to 3D spaces, capturing transformations in real time as they move through virtual environments. Techniques such as neural radiance fields (NeRFs) allow for 3D scene reconstruction from 2D images, enabling dynamic manipulation of perspective and realism in VR experiences. Social media platforms with AR features, like Snapchat or Instagram, harness computer vision methods to map filters onto

human faces or backgrounds, further integrating advanced machine learning to refine these overlays for better realism and responsiveness.

Ethical and Operational Considerations Despite these remarkable capabilities, practical deployment of AI editing tools raises considerable challenges [33]. The risk of misinformation escalates with the rising fidelity of synthesized videos. While illusions, parodies, or comedic edits are common and often harmless, malicious uses—such as political propaganda or character assassination via deepfakes—demonstrate the dark side of these innovations. Consequently, social media platforms have started to adopt policy guidelines and implement automated detection systems, albeit with mixed success. [34]

Moreover, AI editing inevitably grapples with biases inherent in training data, whether in facial recognition accuracy or aesthetic preferences. Datasets that underrepresent certain demographic groups can lead to skewed performance, exacerbating social inequalities. Responsible deployment calls for rigorous auditing, transparency in labeling AI-generated content, and user education about the possibilities and limitations of digital editing. [35]

In a typical pipeline, raw visual input undergoes segmentation or object detection, followed by domain-specific adjustments (e.g., face enhancement) via autoencoders or GANs. Attention mechanisms ensure consistency across regions and frames, while diffusion modules refine textures and resolve spatial ambiguities. Finally, the output is post-processed for color calibration or frame alignment. Such modular systems can be tailored to diverse editing tasks, from fashion photography retouching to cinematic special effects, ultimately powering the dynamic content ecosystem on social media. [36, 37]

In summary, current innovations in AI-driven editing revolve around a complex interplay of generation, transformation, enhancement, and verification. As these techniques continue to mature, they will undoubtedly further blur the lines between authentic and altered content, reinforcing the need for coordinated efforts in ethics, policy, and technology to shape responsible usage and foster trust in the digital sphere.

4. Influence on Social Media Engagement and Perception

While the technical progress in AI-augmented editing is striking, its broader influence is perhaps most visibly manifested in social media ecosystems [38]. Platforms predicated on visual stimuli—Instagram, TikTok, Snapchat, YouTube—have seen dramatic shifts in user behavior and content consumption patterns. At the same time, novel editing tools have reshaped influencers' strategies, user-generated content trends, and audience perception, leading to a more immersive but also potentially misleading digital culture.

Amplifying User Engagement An immediate impact of user-friendly AI filters and editing apps is the surge in engagement metrics. Augmented selfies, face morphs, cartoon-like transformations, and stylized short videos often go viral, accumulating millions of views and shares [39]. This viral potential is partly fueled by the "wow" factor: advanced editing yields aesthetically pleasing or surprising results that prompt users to interact. Platforms harness these effects by periodically releasing trendy filters or challenges—ranging from aging filters to gender-swaps—that spark user curiosity and social comparison. Influencers capitalize on such novelties to maintain high levels of audience interaction, while everyday users experience a dopamine hit from likes and comments on their AI-enhanced posts. [40]

Moreover, AI-augmented editing extends the lifespan of older content. Archival footage can be upscaled or recolored, generating renewed interest and engagement. Nostalgia-driven campaigns that revitalize decades-old photos or videos have emerged, re-contextualizing historical moments for modern audiences. As a result, the

content pipeline continuously evolves, feeding engagement loops that are essential for platforms to retain active users. [41]

Shaping Visual Aesthetics and Trends AI-driven tools streamline the process of achieving specific aesthetic styles, thereby influencing global design and content trends. In a single click, a user might apply a cinematic color grade to their footage or recreate the look of a vintage film camera. These capabilities reduce the gap between amateur and professional production, transforming personal accounts into polished visual showcases [42]. The ubiquity of these stylized edits also homogenizes content: certain "optimal" or "trendy" filters spread rapidly, becoming the de facto standard for new posts. While this uniformity can foster a sense of shared culture, it also risks stifling creativity as users converge on similar presentation styles for maximum likes and shares.

Meanwhile, influencers and commercial brands leverage AI editing to craft compelling narratives. Product photos, fashion shoots, and promotional videos become impeccably curated with minimal effort [43]. Strategic color palettes and consistent brand aesthetics can be automated, reinforcing brand identity across multiple posts. This shift enables smaller businesses and individual creators to compete visually with established entities, intensifying the scramble for attention in an oversaturated market.

Altering Perceptions of Reality A more insidious effect arises when AI editing obscures the line between real and manipulated media [44]. Deepfake technologies—capable of swapping faces or lip-syncing speech—have already triggered controversies, from fabricated celebrity videos to potential political propaganda. Even benign transformations like face retouching or body reshaping filters can generate distorted self-images, perpetuating unrealistic beauty standards. The hyper-realistic quality of AI edits can lead to confusion, eroding viewers' trust in online content. Users may adopt a skeptical stance toward even legitimate media, complicating the verification of authentic news or personal testimonies. [45]

In many cases, illusions created by AI editing bolster curated online personas. Influencers often employ subtle enhancements to conform to aspirational norms—perfectly symmetrical features, flawless skin, or exotic travel backdrops composited from stock footage. This curated reality, though effective for audience engagement, may foster feelings of inadequacy or envy among followers [46]. Prolonged exposure to manipulated perfection can skew individual self-perception, fueling mental health challenges such as anxiety, depression, or body dysmorphia.

Community Interaction and Meme Culture Beyond individual posts, AI-augmented editing influences the communal dynamics of social media. Creative editing tools have become catalysts for meme production and remix culture [47]. Users repurpose AI-generated visuals in comedic, satirical, or politically charged posts, creating viral memes that spread across platforms. Deepfake humor, in particular, has spawned new comedic genres, as people superimpose politicians' or celebrities' faces onto movie scenes, often to ironic or absurd effect. These memes can serve as a powerful vehicle for social commentary, but they also risk normalizing the practice of manipulated media. As memes diffuse through diverse communities, they can polarize opinions, blur the lines between reality and parody, and shape collective social narratives. [48]

Influencer Economy and Monetization AI-augmented editing also affects social media economics. Influencers who quickly adapt to emerging editing trends may gain a competitive edge, attracting sponsorship deals and partnerships. Enhanced production quality often correlates with perceived professionalism, potentially leading to more lucrative opportunities [49]. Some influencers specialize in creating custom edits or effects for clients, transforming AI mastery into a marketable skill. Platforms, meanwhile, experiment with monetizing advanced editing features, offering premium tools or curated filter libraries to high-level content creators. Consequently,

a new economic layer emerges, where the commercial value of AI editing prowess converges with conventional influencer marketing.

However, the heightened reliance on visually striking manipulated content raises questions about authenticity and credibility [50]. Brands must be cautious about endorsing heavily edited promotional material that may mislead consumers. Regulators and advertising standards bodies increasingly scrutinize influencer practices, insisting on disclosure if content has been modified in ways that might deceive viewers. For instance, some jurisdictions now require explicit labeling of retouched model images to mitigate negative impacts on body image perception. [51, 52]

Platform Response and Moderation Strategies Social media platforms find themselves at the nexus of both the boon and the burden of AI editing. On one hand, these transformations enhance user engagement—a critical metric for profitability and growth. On the other, platforms must confront the potential harm posed by deepfakes, manipulative political content, and destructive rumors. Content moderation policies, therefore, become more elaborate, with AI systems scanning uploads for signs of malicious editing or spurious generation [53]. Human oversight remains essential for ambiguous cases, where the context or intent behind the alteration is unclear.

In parallel, platforms investigate user-facing tools for content verification. Some incorporate features that highlight potential inconsistencies or automatically label suspect media [54]. However, these initiatives face technical, legal, and ethical hurdles. Overzealous detection algorithms risk censoring legitimate artistic edits, while insufficient moderation allows harmful misinformation to circulate. The tension between user freedom, creative expression, and responsible content governance underscores an ongoing challenge for platform stakeholders.

Global Cultural Implications The combined effect of heightened visual engagement, homogenized aesthetics, reality distortion, and evolving platform policies shapes digital culture on a global scale [55]. In regions with limited media literacy, AI-generated misinformation can incite social unrest or political manipulation. Conversely, regions that adopt robust detection technologies and educational programs may better navigate the surge of synthetic content. Multilingual support for editing tools introduces cross-cultural influences, propagating aesthetic or meme trends worldwide and accelerating the globalization of digital youth culture [56]. Yet, cultural nuances in humor, beauty standards, or political commentary can lead to misunderstandings and controversies when globally circulated AI-edited content lacks contextual grounding.

In sum, AI-augmented editing exerts a profound and multifaceted influence on social media engagement and public perception. It amplifies user involvement through novelty, refines visual standards, challenges authenticity, and forges new economic opportunities. At the same time, it ushers in ethical complexities around mental health, misinformation, and cultural homogenization [57]. Recognizing these interwoven influences is pivotal for stakeholders—users, influencers, brands, and platform operators alike—to adopt balanced strategies that harness the creative potential of AI while safeguarding societal well-being and trust.

5. Cultural and Societal Dimensions

AI-augmented image and video editing does not exist in a vacuum; it draws upon and feeds back into broader cultural values, social norms, and collective identities. As such, the ramifications of these technologies extend well beyond individual user experiences on social media, influencing how societies negotiate the evolving boundaries between authenticity, creativity, governance, and ethics. [58]

Authenticity and the Erosion of Trust Throughout history, images have held a special status as evidence or documentation, their perceived veracity built on physical limitations in manipulation. The digital era, and

particularly AI editing, has dismantled that presumption of authenticity. When near-perfect fabrications of videos and images become commonplace, the social trust traditionally placed in visual media erodes [59]. This phenomenon reverberates through various sectors: journalism struggles to verify eyewitness footage, courts grapple with evidence reliability, and personal relationships may falter under suspicions of deception. As a result, the cultural significance of images and videos as definitive "proof" is undergoing a paradigm shift, necessitating new norms around verification and transparency.

Narrative and Historical Memory Cultural memory increasingly resides in digital platforms, where personal archives, public records, and creative works intermingle. AI-edited media can alter how societies remember events and interpret historical documentation [60]. For instance, unscrupulous actors might manipulate archival footage to align with specific political agendas, rewriting collective narratives. Even benign content alteration—like colorizing historical photos or upscaling early cinematic footage—raises questions about the authenticity of cultural artifacts. While such enhancements can bring history to life for new generations, they might also blur distinctions between original and revised versions, complicating scholarship and public understanding. [61]

Identity Formation and Self-Representation Individuals on social media often engage in identity curation, presenting themselves through a combination of real and edited images. AI-augmented tools intensify this phenomenon by simplifying the process of crafting highly idealized or alternative personas. Avatars, face-swapped profiles, or stylized video diaries can become extensions of users' self-concepts. For marginalized groups, these tools may offer empowerment—enabling explorations of gender, race, or cultural aesthetics without real-world risk [62]. Conversely, the prevalence of modified self-portraits can exacerbate issues of body dysmorphia and social comparison, as users struggle to reconcile their physical realities with digitally perfected images.

Ethical Challenges and Regulatory Debates Society grapples with where to draw the line between permissible creative expression and harmful misinformation. Organizations, activists, and policymakers increasingly call for guidelines or regulations to address AI editing abuses [63]. Potential strategies include mandatory labeling of AI-generated content, legal penalties for malicious deepfake usage, and standardized detection mechanisms. Yet such measures must navigate tensions between freedom of expression, privacy rights, and anti-censorship principles. In authoritarian regimes, for example, labeling requirements may serve as pretexts for suppressing dissenting or satirical content. Moreover, the global nature of social media compounds enforcement difficulties, as content flows across jurisdictions with varying legal frameworks. [64]

Privacy and Consent AI-augmented editing also intersects with privacy concerns, particularly when individuals' images or likenesses are used without consent. Unauthorized face-swapping or realistic impersonations represent direct infringements on personal privacy, potentially leading to harassment, defamation, or identity theft. Even innocuous tools like AR filters may capture biometric data, raising questions about data protection and user consent [65]. Technologically, techniques such as federated learning or on-device processing can mitigate some risks by minimizing data transmission. However, the ease of access to open-source editing models complicates the task of monitoring or controlling malicious actors.

Algorithmic Bias and Representation Data-driven AI systems inevitably reflect biases in their training sets. An editing tool optimized on images of primarily lighter-skinned individuals may perform poorly on darker-skinned faces, perpetuating inequality in content creation experiences [66]. Furthermore, cultural biases embedded in training data may lead to stereotypes or misrepresentations when AI attempts style transfer or recontextualization for different ethnic and cultural groups. Addressing these issues requires conscious curation of diverse datasets, ongoing model

audits, and inclusive design processes that actively involve underrepresented communities. Absent such measures, AI-augmented editing risks reinforcing cultural hegemonies and excluding already marginalized voices from digital spaces. [67, 68]

Artistic Innovation and the Reimagining of Media Beyond ethical minefields, AI-based editing opens expansive frontiers for artistic innovation. Filmmakers, digital artists, and content creators experiment with generative models to conceive immersive narrative worlds or interactive experiences. Photographers employ neural style transfer to craft hybrid aesthetics that challenge conventional genre classifications. Even in mainstream media production, AI tools facilitate new cinematographic techniques—such as dynamically compositing actors into virtual sets or algorithmically editing sequences based on narrative cues [69]. This synergy of AI and human creativity fosters an evolving digital culture where the line between creator and consumer becomes blurred. Audiences not only consume but also co-create, remixing and reinterpreting content in collaborative online spaces.

Implications for Global Discourse and Collective Action At a global scale, AI-edited media can galvanize communities or sow discord [70]. Activist movements harness viral edited videos or images to highlight social injustices, forging solidarity across borders. Conversely, state-sponsored disinformation campaigns exploit the same tools to fabricate inflammatory content or discredit opposition. The fluidity of visual information in a digitally networked world complicates governance, demanding international dialogue on standards and protocols [71]. Some organizations propose shared cryptographic methods or blockchain-based solutions for verifying source authenticity. Others advocate for widespread media literacy initiatives to cultivate a discerning citizenry capable of navigating a sea of manipulative visuals.

Educating the Next Generation As AI editing becomes routine in daily life, educational systems face the challenge of equipping future generations with the skills and ethical frameworks needed to responsibly engage with synthetic media. Critical viewing, digital literacy, and an understanding of AI fundamentals may become standard components of curricula [72]. Students could learn how generative models operate, how to detect manipulated media, and how to leverage editing tools creatively yet ethically. This shift in pedagogy would better align with the technological realities shaping contemporary youth culture, counteracting the naive acceptance or uncritical rejection of AI-augmented media.

In essence, the cultural and societal dimensions of AI-augmented image and video editing span a vast spectrum—from redefining authenticity and influencing historical memory to challenging deeply held notions of identity, privacy, and ethics [73]. By illuminating these facets, we underscore that the ramifications of AI editing are not merely technological or confined to the social media sphere. They call into question fundamental aspects of how societies represent reality, establish trust, and construct communal narratives. Recognizing the holistic nature of these impacts is crucial for informed discourse, policy development, and cultural adaptation in an era increasingly shaped by synthetic visual media.

6. Conclusion

AI-augmented image and video editing has rapidly transitioned from a novelty to a defining force in modern digital culture [74]. Underpinned by advances in deep learning architectures—ranging from CNNs and autoencoders to GANs, transformers, and diffusion models—these tools democratize content creation and enhancement, enabling amateurs and professionals alike to produce sophisticated media at scale. At the heart of this revolution lies an intricate interplay between technical innovation and social dynamics. Platforms reliant on visual engagement, such

as Instagram and TikTok, offer fertile ground for the proliferation of AI-driven filters, augmentations, and generative effects that attract vast audiences. [75]

Yet, this technological ascent also highlights pressing ethical and societal questions. The heightened realism of manipulated content poses new challenges for authenticity, with deepfakes and stealth edits eroding trust in visual evidence. Simultaneously, the adoption of AI enhancements—particularly regarding retouching and beautification—can perpetuate harmful standards and induce psychological strain among users susceptible to social comparison or self-image concerns. Influencer economies further complicate these scenarios, leveraging AI techniques for monetized engagement but risking credibility and transparency in the process [76]. Meanwhile, regulators and platform owners grapple with the delicate balance between fostering innovation, maintaining user freedom, and preventing malicious exploitation.

Looking ahead, it is evident that AI-augmented editing will not merely refine image and video manipulation; it will reconfigure cultural norms around production, interpretation, and consumption of media. More sophisticated algorithms will continue to emerge, raising the bar on realism and accessibility [77]. These tools, when harnessed responsibly, hold promise for empowering creative expression, preserving historical artifacts, and promoting inclusive representation. Conversely, unregulated or unethical applications threaten to aggravate misinformation cycles, infringe on privacy, and deepen social inequalities.

Consequently, a multi-stakeholder approach is imperative. Technologists must design algorithms with safeguards against bias and abuse, while policy frameworks establish clear guidelines for transparency, labeling, and accountability [78]. Educators can bolster media literacy programs, ensuring that the next generation develops critical thinking regarding synthetic media and its ethical ramifications. Platforms need robust moderation systems and user-facing tools for verification, alongside transparent engagement with their communities about the limitations and potentials of AI. At the individual level, awareness and informed choices can mitigate the negative impacts of manipulated content.

In conclusion, the sphere of AI-augmented media editing stands at the nexus of formidable opportunities and existential risks for digital culture. By acknowledging the technology's capacity to reshape visual perception, collective memory, and personal identity, we position ourselves to guide its trajectory. The discourse and policies we shape today will determine whether this powerful suite of tools serves as a driver of creativity, inclusivity, and knowledge—or becomes a catalyst for disinformation, division, and eroded social trust. To fully realize the former, concerted efforts toward responsible innovation, nuanced regulation, and cultural adaptation remain not just advisable but essential.

References

[1] K. Bok, S. Yoon, and J. Yoo, "Trust evaluation of multimedia documents based on extended provenance model in social semantic web," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 78, no. 20, pp. 28 681–28 702, June 2018.

[2] G.-Y. Yang, W.-Y. Zhou, Y. Cai, S.-H. Zhang, and F.-L. Zhang, "Focusing on your subject: Deep subject-aware image composition recommendation networks," *Computational Visual Media*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 87–107, October 2022.

[3] J. Shen, N. Zemiti, A. Viquesnel, O. C. Mora, A. Courtin, R. Garrel, J.-L. Dillenseger, and P. Poignet, "Intraoperative ultrasonography-based augmented reality for application in image guided robotic surgery," *International journal of computer assisted radiology and surgery*, vol. 13, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–273, May 2018.

[4] S. Beykan, J. Tran-Gia, S. B. Jensen, and M. Lassmann, "Is a single late spect/ct-based kidney 177lu-dosimetry superior to dosimetry with sequential whole-body scans in combination with an early spect/ct?" *European journal of nuclear medicine and molecular imaging*, vol. 47, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–753, September 2020.

[5] Y. Pan, "On visual knowledge," *Frontiers of Information Technology & Electronic Engineering*, vol. 20, no. 8, pp. 1021–1025, September 2019.

[6] "27th annual computational neuroscience meeting (cns*2018): Part one." *BMC neuroscience*, vol. 19, no. Suppl 2, pp. 64–, October 2018.

[7] F. B. Almughlliq, K. Vaswani, H. N. Peiris, S. Reed, B. J. Arachchige, and M. D. Mitchell, "The effects of il-1 on the production of prostaglandin e2 and prostamides by human choriodecidua explants," *Reproductive Sciences*, vol. 25, no. S1, pp. A55–A324, December 2018.

[8] "Augs/iuga scientific meeting 2022." *International urogynecology journal*, vol. 33, no. Suppl 2, pp. 173–519, September 2022.

[9] D. Cormier, P. Jandrić, M. Childs, R. Hall, D. White, L. Phipps, I. Truelove, S. Hayes, and T. Fawns, "Ten years of the postdigital in the 52group: Reflections and developments 2009–2019," *Postdigital Science and Education*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 475–506, June 2019.

[10] N. Chhaya, D. Agarwal, N. Puri, P. Jain, D. Pai, and P. Kumaraguru, "Entwine: Feature analysis and candidate selection for social user identity aggregation," in *Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining 2015*, 2015, pp. 1575–1576.

[11] "Sri 2021: Scientific abstracts." *Reproductive sciences (Thousand Oaks, Calif.)*, vol. 28, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–373, July 2021.

[12] O. H. Frías, H. G. Peña, J. P. Roldan, S. G. Sánchez, R. F. Pérez, Ángela Fernández Iglesias, E. R. Rubio, and F. S. Rodríguez, "Cardiovascular manifestations in x-linked hypophosphatemia," *Pediatric nephrology (Berlin, Germany)*, vol. 33, no. 10, pp. 1817–1817, September 2018.

[13] M. Brown, D. O'Connor, J. M. O'Sullivan, S. Jain, and G. Prue, "Aerobic exercise an an adjuvant therapy in the management of metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer: A case study." *Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 1–261, June 2021.

[14] O. Goriunova, "Face abstraction! biometric identities and authentic subjectivities in the truth practices of data," *Subjectivity*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 12–26, December 2018.

[15] N. H.-H. Rasmussen, J. Dal, J. van den Bergh, F. de Vries, M. H. Jensen, and P. Vestergaard, "Increased risk of falls, fall-related injuries and fractures in people with type 1 and type 2 diabetes compared with the general population: a nationwide cohort study," *Diabetologia*, vol. 63, no. 2020, pp. 421–422, August 2020.

[16] Z. Gao and K. Bischoping, "The emergence of an elder-blaming discourse in twenty-first century china." *Journal of cross-cultural gerontology*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 197–215, May 2018.

[17] L. Floridi and A. Strait, "Ethical foresight analysis: What it is and why it is needed?" *Minds and Machines*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 77–97, March 2020.

[18] F. Morente and G. T. Marx, ""i break in order to reveal". fran morente interview with gary t. marx," *Society*, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 427–444, October 2019.

[19] C. Lan, Z. Cui, and T. Su, "Numerical analysis of catalytic efficiency of diesel exhaust purification based on multimedia aided regression model," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 78, no. 4, pp. 4437–4461, April 2018.

[20] N. Nešković, S. Kvolik, D. Mandić, Željko Debeljak, V. Šerić, S. Škiljić, and S. Marczi, "Tramadol metabolism and systemic inflammation after major abdominal surgery: a prospective observational study," *Intensive care medicine experimental*, vol. 8, no. Suppl 2, pp. 593–41, December 2020.

[21] E. Piva and S. Stroe, "New ventures fighting the war for talents: the impact of product innovativeness and entrepreneurs' passion on applicant attraction," *Small Business Economics*, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 1133–1159, December 2022.

[22] N. Chhaya, D. Pai, D. Agarwal, N. Puri, P. Jain, and P. Kumaraguru, "Automatic aggregation of online user profiles," May 21 2019, uS Patent 10,296,546.

[23] J. M. Tien, "Toward the fourth industrial revolution on real-time customization," *Journal of Systems Science and Systems Engineering*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 127–142, April 2020.

[24] A. D. McRae, F. Caep, and J. W. Yan, "Caep/acmu 2021 scientific abstracts : June 15 - 17th, 2021." *CJEM*, vol. 23, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–93, June 2021.

[25] B. Cartwright, R. Frank, G. Weir, and K. Padda, "Detecting and responding to hostile disinformation activities on social media using machine learning and deep neural networks," *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 34, no. 18, pp. 15 141–15 163, June 2022.

[26] T. Sousa, Šárka Pokorná, R. E. Castro, N. Bernardes, S. Banerjee, G. Gröbner, R. J. Youle, C. A. V. Rodrigues, M. Hof, M. Prieto, and F. Fernandes, "Towards and understanding of the role of bax on bile acid-mediated cytoprotection," *European biophysics journal : EBJ*, vol. 48, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–264, July 2019.

[27] S. Siddiqui, "Esicm lives 2021: Part 1." *Intensive care medicine experimental*, vol. 9, no. Suppl 1, pp. 51–51, September 2021.

[28] T. Baur, A. Heimerl, F. Lingenfelser, J. Wagner, M. Valstar, B. Schuller, and E. André, "explainable cooperative machine learning with nova," *KI - Künstliche Intelligenz*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 143–164, January 2020.

[29] J. Zhang, F. He, Y. Duan, and S. Yang, "Aidednet: anti-interference and detail enhancement dehazing network for real-world scenes," *Frontiers of Computer Science*, vol. 17, no. 2, September 2022.

[30] J. Debacker, D. Creytens, Y. D'Asseler, K. D. Man, B. Descamps, V. Keereman, S. Libbrecht, V. Schelfhout, K. V. de Vijver, C. Vanhove, and W. Huvenne, "Do we know what we're looking at? increasing our understanding of 18f-fdg distribution in oncology by direct coregistration of histopathology and autoradiography in malignancies of the head and neck," *European journal of nuclear medicine and molecular imaging*, vol. 48, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–648, September 2021.

[31] H. Etienne, "The future of online trust (and why deepfake is advancing it)." *AI and ethics*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 1–10, June 2021.

[32] "Annual report of the potato association of america," *American Journal of Potato Research*, vol. 96, no. 4, pp. 317–378, July 2019.

[33] G. Medalist, A. Richard, G. G. Father, D. B. Larson, H. Nadel, D. Frush, B. R. Parker, D. L. Baker, S. Don, E. C. Klatte, D. H. Foos, and P. J. Strouse, "Spr 2020." *Pediatric radiology*, vol. 50, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–181, May 2020.

[34] A. Fournier, M. M. Delgado, M. G. Bocci, A. Prestifilippo, A. Anota, P. Aslanian, G. Besch, J. Constantin, J. Quenot, B. Bouhemad, G. Capellier, and A. Laurent, "Proceedings of réanimation 2020, the french intensive care society international congress." *Annals of intensive care*, vol. 10, no. Suppl 1, pp. 16–, February 2020.

[35] Z. Chen, Y. Zhang, S. Zhang, and C. Yang, "Study on location bias of cnn for shot scale classification," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 81, no. 28, pp. 40 289–40 309, May 2022.

[36] I. N. Sheyanth, M. B. Petersen, L. P. Kiruparajan, and S. K. O. Abildgaard, "First reported case of doyne honeycomb retinal dystrophy (malattia leventinese/autosomal dominant drusen) in scandinavia," *European journal of human genetics : EJHG*, vol. 28, no. Suppl 1, pp. 807–808, December 2020.

[37] S. S. Harsha, A. Revanur, D. Agarwal, and S. Agrawal, "Genvideo: One-shot target-image and shape aware video editing using t2i diffusion models," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024, pp. 7559–7568.

[38] "Abstracts from the 2020 annual meeting of the society of general internal medicine." *Journal of general internal medicine*, vol. 35, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–779, July 2020.

[39] Y. Hamid, S. Elyassami, Y. Gulzar, V. R. Balasaraswathi, T. Habuza, and S. Wani, "An improvised cnn model for fake image detection," *International Journal of Information Technology*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 5–15, November 2022.

[40] M. K. Lobo, H. A. Tejeda, W. A. Carlezon, and S. E. Ahmari, "Acnp 57th annual meeting: Panels, mini-panels and study groups," *Neuropsychopharmacology*, vol. 43, no. S1, pp. 1–76, December 2018.

[41] "About the author," *Data Intelligence*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 1033–1054, October 2022.

[42] A. Zafeiri, P. Filis, S. Shaw, J. P. Iredale, M. J. Swortwood, R. T. Mitchell, D. C. Hay, P. J. O'Shaughnessy, and P. Fowler, "Maternal smoking during pregnancy and changes in prostaglandin enzymes in the human fetus." *Reproductive Sciences*, vol. 26, no. S1, pp. A62–A390, December 2019.

[43] "Society of surgical oncology sso 2022 - international conference on surgical cancer care." *Annals of surgical oncology*, vol. 29, no. Suppl 2, pp. 331–544, April 2022.

[44] N. R. P. W. Hutten, N. L. Mason, P. C. Dolder, E. L. Theunissen, F. Holze, M. E. Liechti, N. Varghese, A. Eckert, A. Fielding, J. G. Ramaekers, and K. P. C. Kuypers, "Low doses of lsd acutely increase bdnf blood plasma levels in healthy volunteers : Preliminary findings," *Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology*, vol. 45, no. Suppl 1, pp. 308–309, December 2020.

[45] "Ectes abstracts 2020." *European journal of trauma and emergency surgery : official publication of the European Trauma Society*, vol. 46, no. Suppl 1, pp. 3–316, May 2020.

[46] J. Lyons-Weiler, "Who are we, and who (or what) do we want to become? an evolutionary perspective on biotransformative technologies," *Biological Theory*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 138–152, September 2021.

[47] B. Jin and D. C. Shin, "The power of 4th industrial revolution in the fashion industry: what, why, and how has the industry changed?" *Fashion and Textiles*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–25, October 2021.

[48] S. S. Siddiqui, S. Saran, S. Saxena, S. Agrawal, S. N. Muzaffar, A. Ahmed, A. Lohiya, and A. Agrawal, "Esicm lives 2021: Part 2." *Intensive care medicine experimental*, vol. 9, no. Suppl 1, pp. 50–50, October 2021.

[49] B. Hahn, B. M. Robinson, J. J. Geng, S. Bansal, S. J. Luck, J. M. Gold, D. Koshiyama, M. Miyakoshi, K. Tanaka-Koshiyama, G. A. Light, Y. Ago, L. Chen, T. Miyaoka, M. Yamada, T. Masutani, K. Ishimoto, S. Nakagawa, S. Asano, K. Sakamoto, T. A. Niendam, M. Savill, L. M. Tully, R. Loewy, V. L. Tryon, K. E. Nye, S. Ereshefsky, L. Matthews, V. Padilla, C. K. Hakusui, H. Gar-Man, C. Blay, E. Wilson, A. P. McNamara, M. Kado, Z. Xu, T. Gilmer, A. Padovani, D. I. Shapiro, K. Bolden, K. Muro, R. M. Botello, R. E. Grattan, and C. Carter, "Acnp 60th annual meeting: Poster abstracts p551 - p830." *Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology*, vol. 46, no. Suppl 1, pp. 369–518, December 2021.

[50] "98. jahrestagung der deutschen gesellschaft für rechtsmedizin hamburg, 17. – 21. september 2019," *Rechtsmedizin*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 323–380, July 2019.

[51] F. Alam, R. Mehmood, I. Katib, S. M. Altowaijri, and A. Albeshri, "Taawun: a decision fusion and feature specific road detection approach for connected autonomous vehicles," *Mobile Networks and Applications*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 636–652, August 2019.

[52] A. Revanur, D. Basu, S. Agrawal, D. Agarwal, and D. Pai, "Coralstyleclip: Co-optimized region and layer selection for image editing," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2023, pp. 12 695–12 704.

[53] S. Akgun and C. Greenhow, "Artificial intelligence in education: Addressing ethical challenges in k-12 settings." *AI and ethics*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 1–10, September 2021.

[54] E. Rezoagli, S. D. Falco, J. Fumagalli, M. Busana, A. Rigoli, I. Protti, A. Tomaselli, M. Battistin, L. Castagna, S. Deab, M. Giani, A. Pesenti, and A. Zanella, "Extracorporeal chloride removal to treat acidemia: in vitro evaluation of three techniques," *Intensive care medicine experimental*, vol. 6, no. Suppl 2, pp. 622–622, October 2018.

[55] M. Azab, T. Al-Dawoodi, Y. Cao, A. Shafi, and J. Yoo, "Simple focal non-convulsive status epilepticus (nsce) masquerading as acute cerebrovascular accident: A case study," *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, vol. 33, no. S2, pp. 83–840, April 2018.

[56] L. Cui, Y. Qu, M. R. Nosouhi, S. Yu, J. Niu, and G. Xie, "Improving data utility through game theory in personalized differential privacy," *Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 272–286, March 2019.

[57] S. Mazurenko, A. Enkin, M. Aseev, O. G. Mazurenko, L. G. Ermolaeva, and P. Soin, "Association of collagen type i alpha1 gene polymorphism with bone mineral density and fractures in patients with chronic kidney disease stage 5," *Calcified tissue international*, vol. 104, no. S1, pp. 78–151, April 2019.

[58] S. Lopez-Guzman, "Computational neuroeconomic decision-making trajectories as predictors of clinical outcomes for opioid use disorde," *Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology*, vol. 44, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–77, December 2019.

[59] M. Mulvenna, R. Bond, J. Delaney, F. M. Dawoodbhoy, J. Boger, C. Potts, and R. Turkington, "Ethical issues in democratizing digital phenotypes and machine learning in the next generation of digital health technologies," *Philosophy & technology*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 1–16, March 2021.

[60] T. Lindig, B. Bender, K. Herz, A. Deshmane, J. Schittenhelm, X. Golay, K. Scheffler, U. Ernemann, and M. Zaiss, "Glint glucocest in neoplastic tumours at 3t: the first clinical results of glucocest in brain tumours," *Insights into imaging*, vol. 11, no. Suppl 1, pp. 351–352, May 2020.

[61] G. Coticchio, B. Behr, A. Campbell, M. Meseguer, D. E. Morbeck, V. Pisaturo, C. E. Plancha, D. Sakkas, Y. Xu, T. D'Hooghe, E. Cottell, and K. Lundin, "Fertility technologies and how to optimize laboratory performance to support the shortening of time to birth of a healthy singleton: a delphi consensus," *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 1021–1043, February 2021.

[62] S. Christie, C. K. Ng, and C. Reis, "Influences on radiographers' choice of immobilisation methods in paediatric radiological examinations," *Insights into imaging*, vol. 10, no. Suppl 1, pp. 509–509, February 2019.

[63] A. Mitra, S. P. Mohanty, P. Corcoran, and E. Kougianos, "A machine learning based approach for deepfake detection in social media through key video frame extraction," *SN Computer Science*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 1–18, February 2021.

[64] J. Wang, S. chao Zhou, and L. min Xia, "Human interaction recognition based on sparse representation of feature covariance matrices," *Journal of Central South University*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 304–314, February 2018.

[65] C. Ma, J.-C. Song, Q. Zhu, K. T. Maher, Z.-Y. Huang, and H. Wang, "Emotionmap: Visual analysis of video emotional content on a map," *Journal of Computer Science and Technology*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 576–591, May 2020.

[66] A. D. Thames, S. Spencer, L. T. Eyler, S. M. McClintock, E. L. Nurmi, C. M. Corcoran, C. E. Bearden, R. M. Murray, R. E. Gur, S. W. Woods, S. Morris, B. Staglin, M. Sand, Y. Landa, M. B. First, R. I. Castillo-Passi, J. H. Taylor, R. M. Bilder, K. Schmack, D. C. Javitt, R. A. Adams, and N. Eshel, "Acnp 59th annual meeting: panels, mini-panels and study groups." *Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology*, vol. 45, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–67, December 2020.

[67] C. Pouget, S. Hergalant, E. Lardenois, S.-F. Battaglia-Hsu, R. Houlgatte, C. Carpentier, C. Dehais, F. Rech, L. Taillandier, C. Colin, D. Figarella-branger, and G. Gauchotte, "Ki-67 and mcm6 labelling index are correlated with overall survival in anaplastic oligodendroglioma, idh1-mutant and 1p/19q codeleted: a multicenter study from the french pola network," *Virchows Archiv : an international journal of pathology*, vol. 475, no. 1, pp. 1–436, August 2019.

[68] A. Revanur, D. D. Basu, S. Agrawal, D. Agarwal, and D. Pai, "Mask conditioned image transformation based on a text prompt," Nov. 21 2024, uS Patent App. 18/319,808.

[69] "Proceedings of the 25th european paediatric rheumatology congress (pres 2018)," *Pediatric Rheumatology*, vol. 16, no. S2, August 2018.

[70] J. Yuan, C. Chen, W. Yang, M. Liu, J. Xia, and S. Liu, "A survey of visual analytics techniques for machine learning," *Computational Visual Media*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 3–36, November 2020.

[71] P. Bay, M. P. de Chambrun, V. Rothstein, M. Mahévas, N. de Prost, A. Roux, B. Zuber, D. Israël-Biet, B. Hervier, A. Tazi, L. Mouthon, A. Mékinian, C. Deligny, R. Borie, C. M. Jean, P. Priou, A. Meyer, L. Savale, L. de Saint Martin, P.-Y. Brilliet, P. Khafagy, O. Benveniste, H. Nunès, Y. Allenbach, and Y. Uzunhan, "Proceedings of reanimation 2022, the french intensive care society international congress." *Annals of intensive care*, vol. 12, no. Suppl 1, pp. 54–, June 2022.

[72] C. Peukert, "The next wave of digital technological change and the cultural industries," *Journal of Cultural Economics*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 189–210, November 2018.

[73] "Abstracts," *Neurotoxicity Research*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 889–972, September 2018.

[74] E. S. Kox, L. B. Siegling, and J. H. Kerstholt, "Trust development in military and civilian human-agent teams: The effect of social-cognitive recovery strategies." *International journal of social robotics*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 1323–1338, April 2022.

[75] S. Kingra, N. Aggarwal, and N. Kaur, "Emergence of deepfakes and video tampering detection approaches: A survey," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 82, no. 7, pp. 10 165–10 209, August 2022.

[76] "Abstracts des 71. kongresses der deutschen gesellschaft für urologie e.v." *Der Urologe. Ausg. A*, vol. 58, no. Suppl 1, pp. 2–128, August 2019.

[77] K. S. and M. V., "Image quality assessment based fake face detection," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 82, no. 6, pp. 8691–8708, January 2022.

[78] *A closer look onto breast density with weakly supervised dense-tissue masks*, vol. 14, no. Suppl 1. Germany: Springer Science and Business Media LLC, May 2019.

